Hemingway Vocational Center 1593 Hemingway Highway Hemingway, SC 29554 Grades 9-12 Career Center **Enrollment** 192 Students Director Mr. Johnny M Gardner, Jr. 843-558-5813 **Board Chair** Mrs. Barbara McKenzie 843-382-3980 Superintendent Kenneth Gardner, Ed.D. 843-355-5571 The State of South Carolina **Annual School** 2005 Report Card **ABSOLUTE RATING** EXCELLENT **Absolute Ratings of Career Centers** Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 24 10 3 1 IMPROVEMENT RATING EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS YES Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average or Below Average. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
		Mastering Core Competencies		Receiving Diplomas			Place in Field		
	This	Center	State	Thi	Center	State	This	Center	State
	n	%	Center Average%	n	%	Center Average%	n	%	Center Average%
All Students									Ť
	152	92.8%	81.1%	43	97.7%	92.4%	61	96.7%	97.6%
Students with disabilities on diplom	a track								
	0	N/A	73.2%	0	N/A	75.6%	3	I/S	98.5%
Gender									
Male	95	90.5%	77.7%	30	96.7%	90.9%	29	93.6%	98.6%
Female	57	96.5%	85.2%	13	100.0%	93.3%	30	100.0%	96.5%
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	39	89.7%	89.0%	13	100.0%	95.4%	25	100.0%	98.5%
African American	113	93.8%	73.6%	30	96.7%	86.8%	34	94.4%	96.1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	89.1%	0	N/A	85.1%	0	N/A	N/AV
Hispanic	0	N/A	81.7%	0	N/A	91.3%	0	N/A	N/AV
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	76.3%	0	N/A	81.3%	0	N/A	N/A\
Migrant Status									
Migrant									
Non-migrant									
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	74.6%	0	N/A	86.1%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Non-Limited English Proficient	152	92.8%	81.2%	43	97.7%	92.4%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A\
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	126	92.1%	75.1%	35	97.1%	87.8%	31	96.9%	96.5%
Full-pay meals	26	96.2%	85.9%	8	100.0%	94.6%	28	96.6%	98.0%
n = number of students on which percentage is calc	culated								

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATING TERMS

- •Mastering Core Competencies-The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- Graduation Rate-The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate-The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Tremmigway vocational center			45010
SCHOOL PROFILE			
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Median Career Center
Students (n= 192)			
With disabilities other than speech Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	23.4% 3.1%	Up from 0.3% Down from 7.5%	1.8% 18.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	192	Up from 133	643
Students participating in worked-based experiences	31.8%	Up from 0.0%	32.2%
Teachers (n= 7)			
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	16.7% 83.3%	No change No change	25.6% 73.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	N/A 16.7%	N/A No change	66.7% 15.1%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	88.9% 95.2%	No change Down from 96.9%	88.9% 95.6%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$37,661 15.3 days	Up 3.8% Down from 15.9 days	\$43,493 13.6 days
School			
Director's years at Center Dollars spent per pupil*	10.0 \$4,707	Up from 9.0 Up 2.5%	3.0 \$3,353
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	49.5%	Down from 50.1%	52.3%
Parents attending conferences	32.3%	Down from 41.4%	83.8%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes

	Our District	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	89.4%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	93.3%	90.1%

REPORT OF DIRECTOR AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We believe that there should be a vital link between the vocational center and business and industry. Communication is the link which must be the strongest if we are to get input from businesses and industry, and in turn provide the type of training that is relevant to their needs. The vocational center must maintain close liaison with the community, the public schools and the students it serves. We further believe that vocational education should be accessible to all students in the high schools we serve, and that vocational classes should be representative of the total population. We believe that everyone wants to feel pride in his/her profession, and have a sense of success and self-esteem. Continuous efforts are made to prepare students to be personally responsible for the direction of their lives and careers, and to enter the adult world as responsible, productive citizens.

Johnny M. Gardner, Jr. - Director Barbara Cook - SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS					
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*		
Number of surveys returned	7	62	30		
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	85.5%	89.7%		
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	80.6%	90.0%		
Percent satisfied with school-home relations *Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included.	85.7%	85.5%	73.3%		